Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal Economic and Business Applied
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJEBA_449
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence Price And Accuracy Of Delivery On Customer Satisfaction Expeditionary Services
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 The author has not been a theoretical reference source Adding theory in the last 5 years because references are still relatively lacking The reference source is too old, 1976, replace it with the latest one The author has not researched metodology 	
Minor REVISION comments	The research methodology needs to be described and the contents of the article must be divided into several parts including an introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion, a conclusion	
Optional/General comments	Overall the content with the title is connected, but the writing system is improved and the theory is more general in nature so that it is easy for readers to understand	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	No	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	No	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"
I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript	
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript	·	
(Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)		
Cuidalina		
Guideline:		
Accept As It Is: (>9-10)	Minor Revision	
Minor Revision: (>8-9)	Willion Revision	
Major Revision: (>7-8)		
Serious Major revision: (>5-7)		
Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5)		
Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)