The following changes have been made on the Manuscript “…” in accordance with reviewers’ comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reviewer’s comments  | Changes made  | Page (see highlights) |
|

|  |
| --- |
| Although the author(s) of the manuscript have made significant improvements in the Introduction and in the Literature Review, there are still some aspects that need to be modified. There are still data whose sources have not been cited. For example, where were the data for Figure 1 taken from? Something similar happens in the first part of the Introduction. The results of a series of interviews and questionnaires are referred to, but the source is not mentioned. In fact, at the end of this section it is stated: “From the results of the survey that has been conducted”, but the source of such a survey is not indicated. In  |

 | the source of information or literature has been improved, the source of the results of the initial survey that has been carried out by researchers and previous research | p.2 - 3 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| Despite the recommendations made in the two previous reviews, the Method section still lacks basic information. What were they like the questionnaire (E.g. number of question, etc.)? Since they were prepared by the authors, were they previously validated? In what way? How were they administered? It would even be convenient to attach them at the end of the manuscript. Were ethical aspects considered in the research? The section on methodology should be greatly expanded and should describe in detail the instrument (questionnaire) and the procedure followed.  |

 | 1. Questionnaire statement has been added
2. An explanation of the validity and reliability of the data has been added
 | p. 7-10p. 10 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| It is recommended to include limitations and future lines of research |

 | An explanation of the limitations of the study and suggestions for further research have been added | p.21 |